IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF PUERTO RICO

UNTTED	STATES	\bigcirc F	AMERICA,
	O $\pm t$ t $\pm t$ O	\circ	

Plaintiff

V.

Isidro Jones-Reyes,

Defendant

Criminal No. 21-cr-153-3-ADC


MAGISTRATE JUDGE'S REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION Re: Amendment 821

The below report and recommendation relates to an initial determination as to the defendant's eligibility for a sentencing reduction promulgated by the United States Sentencing Commission under Part A and Part B, Subpart 1 of Amendment 821 to Policy Statement § 1B1.10(d).

After careful review of the defendant's presentence report, charging document(s), plea agreement, plea supplement, judgment, and statement of reasons, I recommend that:

\boxtimes	The	def	fendant	is	not	eligible	for	a	sentence	${\tt reduction}$	based
	on	the	follow	ing	fac	tor(s):					

- ☐ A. The guidelines range that applied in the defendant's case was not determined by U.S.S.G. § 4A1.1(d) or defendant's status as a zero-point offender under Chapter 4, Part A.
- ⊠ B. The defendant does not meet <u>all</u> of conditions specified by § 4C1.1. Specifically, one or more of the following criteria applies:
 - ☐ 1) the defendant has criminal history points from Chapter Four, Part A;
 - ☐ 2) the defendant received an adjustment under U.S.S.G. § 3A1.4 (Terrorism);
 - ☐ 3) the defendant used violence or credible threats of violence in connection with the offense;



Since a determination of ineligibility has been made, the matter is formally submitted to the presiding District Court Judge. Defense counsel, whether retained, appointed, or pro bono, has fourteen days to object to the initial assessment of ineligibility. After the fourteen day period, and in the absence of an objection by defense counsel, the presiding District Court Judge may adopt the recommendation of the Magistrate Judge and may rule on the motion for reduction of sentence.

☐ The defendant <u>may be</u> eligible for a sentence reduction and therefore the matter is referred to a United States District Judge.

The presiding judicial officer shall wait for the parties' stipulation of a sentence reduction within fourteen days. If no stipulation is reached within this period, the presiding judicial officer shall wait for the United States Probation Office, defense counsel, and the Government's memoranda, which shall be filed within another fourteen days.

Reasons: Defendant was a zero-point offender, Dkt. 207 (PSR) at 11 \P 60, who pled guilty to a drug trafficking offense (Count One) and possessing a firearm in connection with that offense (Count Five). Dkt. 158 (Plea Agreement) at 2-3. At the same time, he also pled guilty to another drug trafficking offense charged in a different case. *Id.* at 1-2. I further note Defendant admitted he was in possession of a firearm when he was arrested for these offenses. *Id.* at 17.

Defendant received the mandatory minimum 60-month sentence for the firearm offense charged in Count Five. See Dkt. 207 at 2; Dkt. 209 at 2. He is thus ineligible for a reduction of that sentence. And, because Defendant admittedly used a firearm in connection with the drug trafficking offense charged in Count One, he is ineligible for a reduction of his 108-month sentence for that offense. Accordingly, he is ineligible for a sentence reduction under Amendment 821.

IT IS SO RECOMMENDED.

In San Juan, Puerto Rico, this 15th day of March, 2024.

s/ Bruce J. McGiverin
BRUCE J. McGIVERIN
United States Magistrate Judge